

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Guadalupe SWCD

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Guadalupe SWCD		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Grazing lands #1 - Will the area treated with brush control be 10% or greater of the contracted area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Grazing lands #2 - Will the area treated with brush control be 5-9% of the contracted area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Grazing lands #3 - Will the area treated with brush control be less than 5% of the contracted area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Grazing lands #4 - Will the main target brush species be Mesquite?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Grazing lands #5 - Will the main target brush species be Juniper?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing lands #6 - Will the main target brush species be Cholla?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing lands #7 - Will the main target brush species be other than Mesquite, Juniper, Cholla?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing lands #8 - Is the area needing brush control an average density of medium or greater?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing lands #9 - Is the area needing brush control an average density of less than medium?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

10. Grazing lands #10 - Will the brush needing treatment be treated chemically only?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Grazing lands #11 - Will the brush needing treatment be treated mechanically or both?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Grazing lands #12 - Will watering facilities be installed in new locations to improve grazing management and meet (not to exceed) livestock needs on the entire contract area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Grazing lands #13 - Will watering facilities be installed in new locations to improve grazing management and meet (not to exceed) livestock needs on less than the entire contract area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Grazing lands #14 - Will watering facilities be installed as replacements for existing facilities that have met their lifespan and cannot be repaired?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Grazing lands #15 - Will interior fences be constructed in new locations to improve grazing management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Grazing lands #16 - Will interior fences be constructed as replacements for existing fences that have met their lifespan and cannot be repaired?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Grazing lands #17 - Will the applicant implement contracted practices such as brush management, water development or cross-fencing which result in an improvement in the overall plant community, composition, and distribution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Grazing lands #17 - Will the applicant implement contracted practices such as a wildlife watering facility planned specifically for the benefit of wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Irrigated cropland #1 - Will water efficiency increase by practices implemented be 40% or greater (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Irrigated cropland #2 - Will water efficiency increase by practices implemented be 30 - 39% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Irrigated cropland #3 - Will water efficiency increase by practices implemented be 10 - 29% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Irrigated cropland #4 - Will water efficiency increase by practices implemented be 1 - 9% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Irrigated cropland #5 - Will irrigation be performed using LEPA, Sprinkler, or micro irrigation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. Irrigated cropland #6 - Will irrigation be performed using a concrete ditch or irrigation pipeline?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. Irrigated cropland #7 - Is the distance to live surface water < 100 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. Irrigated cropland #8 - Is the distance to live surface water 101 - 500 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. Irrigated cropland #9 -Is the distance to live surface water less > 501 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. Irrigated cropland #10 - Is the depth to the ground water table < 10 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. Irrigated cropland #11 -Is the depth to the ground water table 11 - 50 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
30. Irrigated cropland #12 - Is the depth to the ground water table > 51 feet and will a mitigating practice be applied?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
31. Irrigated cropland #13 - Will land leveling be performed (and is feasible) at amounts greater than 100 cubic yards per acre?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
32. Irrigated cropland #14 - Will land smoothing be performed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: